



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,349	05/04/2006	Ulrich Brochheuser	GKNG1264/36249-25	5630
27256	7590	02/17/2009		
Dickinson Wright PLLC 38525 Woodward Avenue Suite 2000 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304			EXAMINER SULLIVAN, DEBRA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: ULRICH BROCHHEUSER
and ANDREAS GEHRKE

Application No. 10/562,349
Technology Center 3700

Mailed: 17 February 2009

Before KRISTA ZELE *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*.
ZELE, *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 16, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

Section §1207.02 of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure*

(MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 7, July 2008) states:

(A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

- (8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

The Examiner's Answer mailed August 4, 2008 is deficient because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the reference Budrean et al. (US Patent 4,785,648) cited on page 4 in the Examiner's Answer's grounds of rejection of Claims 8 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted prior art in view of Ihara et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Budrean et al (US Patent #4,785,648).

Appropriate correction is required.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

Application No. 10/562,349

1) to issue a PTOL-90 citing the missing reference listed under the Evidence Relied Upon section, paragraph (8); and

2) for such further action as may be required.

KZ/MTV/yr

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC
38525 WOODWARD AVENUE
SUITE 2000
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304